

Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University
Alumni Placement Rates
One Year After Graduation
Worldwide Campus - Graduate Degrees

Year of Graduation	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
<u>COLLEGE OF AERONAUTICS</u>					
Aeronautical Science / Aeronautics (M)	(286)	(236)	(182)	(161)	(157)
Effective Placement Rate	95.5%	96.6%	96.2%	97.5%	95.5% **
Employment Rate	95.1%	96.2%	96.2%	96.9%	94.3% **
Continuing Education Rate	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%	1.3% **
Aviation (D)	(4)	*	*	(3)	(5)
Effective Placement Rate	100.0%			100.0%	80.0% **
Employment Rate	100.0%			100.0%	80.0% **
Continuing Education Rate	0.0%			0.0%	0.0% **
Human Factors (M)	*	*	*	*	(4)
Effective Placement Rate					100.0% **
Employment Rate					75.0% **
Continuing Education Rate					25.0% **
Human Security & Resilience (M)	*	*	*	*	(3)
Effective Placement Rate					66.7% **
Employment Rate					66.7% **
Continuing Education Rate					0.0% **
Information Security & Assurance	*	*	*	*	(6)
Effective Placement Rate					100.0% **
Employment Rate					100.0% **
Continuing Education Rate					0.0% **
Occupational Safety Management (M)	*	(9)	(15)	(15)	(21)
Effective Placement Rate		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	81.0% **
Employment Rate		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	81.0% **
Continuing Education Rate		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% **
Space Education (M)	*	(4)	*	*	*
Effective Placement Rate		100.0%			
Employment Rate		100.0%			
Continuing Education Rate		0.0%			
Systems Engineering (M)	*	(4)	(12)	(9)	(10)
Effective Placement Rate		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% **
Employment Rate		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% **
Continuing Education Rate		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% **
Unmanned Systems (M)	*	*	*	(6)	(20)
Effective Placement Rate				100.0%	100.0% **
Employment Rate				100.0%	100.0% **
Continuing Education Rate				0.0%	0.0% **

Year of Graduation	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
--------------------	------	------	------	------	------

COLLEGE OF BUSINESS

Business Administration in Aviation (M)	(62)	(72)	(69)	(75)	(77)
Effective Placement Rate	98.4%	93.1%	98.5%	98.7%	93.5% **
Employment Rate	98.4%	91.7%	97.1%	98.7%	93.5% **
Continuing Education Rate	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0% **
Leadership (M)	*	(10)	(21)	(23)	(19)
Effective Placement Rate		90.0%	95.2%	95.7%	94.7% **
Employment Rate		90.0%	95.2%	87.0%	89.5% **
Continuing Education Rate		0.0%	0.0%	8.7%	5.3% **
Logistics & Supply Chain Management (M)	(13)	(21)	(19)	(38)	(45)
Effective Placement Rate	100.0%	90.5%	94.7%	94.7%	95.6% **
Employment Rate	100.0%	90.5%	94.7%	94.7%	88.9% **
Continuing Education Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7% **
Management (M)	(44)	(31)	(30)	(40)	(55)
Effective Placement Rate	97.7%	100.0%	100.0%	97.5%	96.4% **
Employment Rate	97.7%	100.0%	100.0%	97.5%	96.4% **
Continuing Education Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% **
Project Management (M)	(37)	(43)	(39)	(55)	(37)
Effective Placement Rate	97.3%	95.3%	97.9%	96.4%	94.6% **
Employment Rate	97.3%	95.3%	97.4%	94.5%	94.9% **
Continuing Education Rate	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	1.8%	2.7% **
Engineering Management (M)	*	*	(5)	(11)	(22)
Effective Placement Rate			100.0%	100.0%	100.0% **
Employment Rate			100.0%	100.0%	100.0% **
Continuing Education Rate			0.0%	0.0%	0.0% **

Notes:

- () Number of Respondents
- The effective placement rate consists of the employment rate (working full-time, part-time, military) plus the continuing education rate (out of the work force due to continuing education).

* Too few respondents to report

** A significant change to the survey instructions was implemented beginning with the Class of 2017:

- Degree completion dates were piped into the survey, and respondents asked to provide their employment status exactly one year post-graduation. This change allows for more accurate calculation of one year placement and employment rates. Exercise caution when making cohort comparisons.

- A significant change to the University's rate calculations was implemented with the Class of 2017. Previously removed, alumni indicating "out of the workforce due to other reasons" are now included in all calculations and are labeled as "Not Seeking." This change aligns the University's placement and employment rates with the standards proposed by the National Association of Colleges and Employers (NACE) This new calculation will necessarily result in lower rates than the previous formula, but ERAU rates will be more comparable to those reported by institutions adhering to the NACE standards.

SOURCE: Worldwide Alumni Survey: One Year After Graduation. Institutional Research.